1		
2		
3		FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY
4		NOV 1 6 2023
5		CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
6		By Arand Hall
7	Micrapia	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10		
11	CARLOS AGUILAR, MARIA ALCAZAR, LIZVETTE SALGADO and ELPIDIA) Case No. RG21107981
12	ALCAZAR individually, and on behalf of all) Assigned for All Purposes To:
13	others similarly situated,) Judge: Evelio Grillo) Dept: 21
14	Plaintiffs, vs.) REVISED (PROPERTY) ORDER
15	FARMGIRL FLOWERS INC., a California) GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL) OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND
16	Corporation; CHRISTINA STEMBEL; DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	ONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION OF THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT CLASS
17	Defendants.) Action Filed: August 5, 2021
18)
19		
20		
21	*	
22		
23		
24	No.	
25		
26		
27		r.
28		

CDF LABOR LAW LLP

Alc
Co
on
Far
Coo
pro
WA
at t
On
Cal
and
in p
tern

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

On August 5, 2021, Plaintiffs Carlos Aguilar, Maria Alcazar, Lizvette Salgado and Elpidia Alcazar ("Plaintiffs") filed the initial class-action complaint in the Superior Court of Alameda County, styled Carlos Aguilar et. al. v. Farmgirl Flowers, Inc., Case No. Case No. RG21107981, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated current and former employees of Defendant Farmgirl Flowers, Inc. ("Defendant"). The Complaint asserted claims under the California Labor Code for failure to pay wages for all hours worked (including overtime and at the correct rate), provide compliant meal periods and rest breaks, reimburse for business expenses, comply with Cal-WARN regarding giving 60 days' notice, provide accurate itemized wage statements, pay all wages at the time employment ends and a derivative claim under the California Unfair Competition Law. On October 12, 2021, Plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint to add a cause of action under California's Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), Cal. Labor Code section 2699, et seq.

After extensive discovery, including the production of time and payroll records, policies and procedures, written discovery and depositions of some of the Plaintiffs, the Parties participated in private mediation before Lou Marlin on March 31, 2023. The Parties reached a settlement, the terms of which are embodied in the Second Amended Stipulation and Settlement of Class Action Claims the "Agreement" or "Settlement Agreement").

On October 27, 2023, the hearing on the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and Conditional Certification of the Proposed Settlement Class (the "Preliminary Approval Motion") was held before this Court. The Court, having reviewed the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of the Preliminary Approval Motion, all other papers and documents presented, having heard the arguments of counsel, and having considered the matter, and making findings and rulings at the hearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. The Court hereby GRANTS preliminary approval of the terms contained in the Agreement. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Agreement appear to be presumptively fair (*Dunk v. Ford Motor Co.*, 48 Ca1. App. 4th 1794, 1802 (1996)), and are within the "ballpark" of reasonableness based on an independent and objective review of the well-recognized factors in evaluating whether a class-action settlement is fair, adequate and reasonable.

- 2. It appears to the Court on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, trial, and potential appeals; (2) significant formal and informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions at this time; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further pursuit of litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive arms'-length negotiations between the Parties.
- 3. The Court hereby GRANTS conditional certification of the provisional class, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382, in accordance with the Agreement for the purpose of this class settlement only. The Settlement Class is defined as all current and former hourly non-exempt employees of Defendant in California at any time from August 5, 2017 through the date of preliminary approval of the settlement, excluding any individuals who have previously signed separation agreements with general releases.
- 4. The Court hereby GRANTS conditional approval of the PAGA Release. The Aggrieved Employees are defined as all current and former hourly non-exempt employees of Defendant in California at any time from August 10, 2020 through the date of preliminary approval of the settlement.
- The Court hereby authorizes the retention of CPT Group as Settlement
 Administrator for the purpose of this settlement.
- 6. The Court hereby conditionally finds that Ian M. Silvers of Bisnar|Chase LLP and Richard C. Alpers of Alpers Law Group, Inc. ("Class Counsel") may act as lead counsel for the Settlement Class, and that Plaintiffs may act as Class Representatives for the Settlement Class.

28 ///

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- The Court hereby APPROVES the Notice of Class Action Settlement (the "Notice") attached hereto as EXHIBIT 1. The Court finds that the Notice constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and is in full compliance with the laws of the State of California, to the extent applicable, the United States Constitution, and the requirements of due process. The Court further finds that the Notice appears to fully and accurately inform the Settlement Class Members of all material elements of the proposed Agreement, of the Settlement Class Members' right to be excluded from the settlement, and of each Settlement Class member's right and opportunity to object to the Agreement. The Notice shall be mailed via first class mail to the most recent known address of each Settlement Class member within ten (10) calendar days following the receipt of Settlement Class Member information from Defendant.
- The Court further ORDERS that Class Counsel shall file the Motion for Final Approval of the Class-Action Settlement and the Motion for Final Approval of Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Class Representative Incentive Awards, with the appropriate declarations and supporting evidence, by sixteen (16) court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing.
- 9. The Court further ORDERS that each Settlement Class member who does not request exclusion from the settlement, shall be given a full opportunity to object to the Agreement and to participate at the Final Approval Hearing at which the court will address final approval of the settlement including attorneys' fees, costs, administration costs and class representative enhancement awards, which the Court sets to commence on February 23, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. in Department 21 of the Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda, 1221 Oak Street, Oakland, CA 94612.
- 10. Any Settlement Class member seeking to object to the Agreement must mail or fax his or her objection to the Settlement Administrator no later than forty-five (45) calendar days after the mailing of the Notice and accompanying forms to Settlement Class Members, unless the Court requires a longer period, in which case the Court-ordered objection period will apply. If the 45th day falls on a Sunday or federal holiday, the time to object to the Settlement Agreement will be extended to the next day on which the U.S. Postal Service is open. Except that should the Response Deadline (or any extension(s) thereof) fall on a Saturday and regular U.S. Mail service is

in operation that day, then no further extension pursuant to this paragraph shall apply to these specific deadlines. Under no circumstances shall the objection deadline be extended for any reason other than the above, including non-receipt of the Notice. Any Settlement Class member who fails to serve a timely written objection shall be foreclosed from objecting to the Settlement Agreement, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

- 11. Following Final Approval of the Settlement, the Settlement Class members will be paid an Individual Settlement Payment as calculated under Section 3.06(h) of the Agreement, unless the Settlement Class member submits an opt-out form within 45 calendar days from the date the Settlement Administrator mails the Notice and accompanying forms to Settlement Class Members.
- 12. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this action, except those contemplated herein and in the Agreement, are stayed.
- 13. If the Court grants final approval, Settlement Class Members (who have not opted out) and their successors shall conclusively be deemed to have given a release, as set forth in the Agreement and Notice, against the released parties, and all such Settlement Class Members and their successors shall be permanently enjoined and forever barred from asserting any released claims against the released parties. Specifically, Settlement Class Members shall release Defendant and the Released Parties of the following:

All claims under federal, state or local law, that were asserted or could have been asserted based on the facts, claims, and theories expressly pleaded in the First Amended Complaint or any prior Complaints; the facts, claims or theories expressly raised in Plaintiff's notice to the LWDA dated July 27, 2021, regarding Defendant; and/or any facts, claims or theories arising under any applicable IWC Wage Orders, including claims for: (1) failure to pay wages for all hours worked; (2) failure to pay overtime wages, (3) failure to provide mandatory meal and rest breaks, (4) unreimbursed business expenses, (5) violation of the California WARN Act, (6) failure to provide accurate wage statements, (7) failure to pay waiting time penalties, and (8) violations of the Unfair Competition Law, Calif. Bus. & Prof. Code §§17200 et. seq. ("UCL") ("Released Class Claims").

14. Further if the Court grants final approval, Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and as agents and proxies on behalf of the LWDA, shall conclusively be deemed to have given a release, as set forth in the Amended Agreement and Notice, against the released parties. Specifically, the

following shall be released:

All claims and/or causes of action under the PAGA that were asserted or could have been asserted based upon the facts, claims and theories expressly pleaded in the First Amended Complaint and/or any prior Complaints, and/or any facts, claims, or theories set forth in the LWDA Notice against Defendant dated July 27, 2021, including but not limited to, claims for civil penalties for alleged Labor Code violations under the PAGA, including the alleged (1) failure to pay wages for all hours worked; (2) failure to pay overtime wages, (3) failure to provide mandatory meal and rest breaks, (4) unreimbursed business expenses, (5) violation of the California WARN Act, (6) failure to provide accurate wage statements, and (7) failure to pay waiting time penalties ("Released PAGA Claims").

IT IS SO ORDERED.

15. It is the intent of the Parties that the judgment entered by the Court approving the releases set forth in the Parties' Settlement Agreement upon final approval of the Settlement shall have *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, and all other preclusive effect in all pending and future claims, lawsuits or proceedings and be final and binding upon Plaintiffs, the LWDA (regarding the Released PAGA Claims only), and all Settlement Class Members, excluding only those who have expressly opted out of the settlement by submitting a valid Request for Exclusion..

Dated: Junk 16, Zuzzo23

HON. EVILIO GRILLO